



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,355 10/29/2001		Shinobu Togasaki	10002673-1 2701	
7	590 09/15/2005		EXAM	INER
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			ANYA, CHARLES E	
Intellectual Pro	perty Administration			
P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2194	****

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/020,355	TOGASAKI, SHINOBU		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Charles E. Anya	2194		

Belofe the Filling of all Appeal Brich	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Charles E. Anya	2194			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 16 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
NOTICE OF AFFEAC 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ne of the date of		
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed on A blief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);			
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 	5	ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-33</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			•		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 			nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)			
13.	M	in for			
	MEN	G-AL T. AN			
	SUPTIMESONY	PATENT EXAMINER			
	\$77.734 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	** # / ** *A (S) Dame D D D D D D D D D			

TECHNOLOGY GENTER 2100

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The Examiner still maintains that (1), the invention as claimed requires the selection of a front-end server based on the attribute-based category of a transaction and based in part on the front-end server corresponding to the attribute-based category. The Kanai prior art reference discloses the selection of a front-end server by looking up the data arrangement information/history table on based on newly arrived transaction (Col. 14 Ln. 56 - 65, Col. 12 Ln. 1 - 9). Also the transaction routing unit selects optimum front- end server based on feature parameters, which are part of a transaction received from a source processor (Col. 19 Ln. 13 - 38); (2), the Kanai prior art reference teaches selecting a front-end server by determining when an identified attribute-based category of a transaction is new because a routing determination unit is provided and it determines the arrival of a new transaction (Col. 14 Ln. 56 - 67, Col. 15 Ln. 1 - 8); (3), the Kanai prior art reference teaches notifying a workload manager of a front-end server assigned to a new attribute-based category of transaction (Col. 25 Ln. 27 - 32); and (4), the Cross prior ad reference teaches transaction routing by sending data packets from a client and it is the function of the switch to remove/delete the client (which could be any node/front-end server in the network) from the network table (Col. 6 Ln. 15 - 27).